

BCA submission to
the exposure draft on
legislating the
objective of
superannuation

September 2023

Contents

1.	Overview.....	2
2.	Key recommendations	2
3.	The objectives of the superannuation system in historical perspective.....	3
4.	The purpose of a legislated objective	3
5.	Defining the objective	4
	Preserve savings	4
	Deliver income.....	5
	Dignified.....	5
	Alongside government support	5
	Equitable.....	5
	Sustainable	6
6.	Enforceability of the objective	6
7.	Recommendations	6

1. Overview

The Business Council of Australia welcomes the opportunity to comment on the exposure draft legislation for legislating the objective of superannuation.

Superannuation is one of the three pillars of the retirement income system and policy decisions about superannuation touch on major areas of government policy, including the tax and welfare systems and home ownership.

Individuals may spend more than 40 years saving for retirement during their working lives and could have over 15 years in retirement. It is undeniable that the economic and policy landscape will change over such an extended time. It is also reasonable for the public to expect a relative degree of certainty over the policy arrangements for retirement incomes given these long horizons and the complexity of the issues involved.

Despite this, retirement income policy arrangements have been changed repeatedly, undermining confidence in the system and the ability to plan for retirement.

The BCA agrees that enshrining the objective of the superannuation system in legislation will provide a guide to policymakers, regulators, business and the community about superannuation's fundamental purpose. It will also provide a stable benchmark against which the current system and any future policy changes can be evaluated.

However, a legislated objective does not in itself guarantee that future governments will make sound policy decisions consistent with the objective. The objective does not change the incentives governments face to make short-term decisions inconsistent with long-run objectives. As with the taxation system, there will always be short-term incentives to tinker at the margins of superannuation policy in ways that individually and cumulatively add complexity and undermine confidence in the system.

The proposed objective is open to a wide range of interpretations and how it is operationalised through policy. There is no definitive formulation that will bring an end to policy contestation over superannuation and its interaction with the other pillars of the retirement income and tax systems. The government must build a strong community consensus around the meaning of the various elements of the objective to ensure its success.

2. Key recommendations

- The BCA supports the introduction of a legislated objective for superannuation to guide the future evolution of superannuation and to maintain stability and confidence in the superannuation and broader retirement income system.
- The proposed draft bill puts the legislated objective outside the scope of administrative and judicial review and the lack of a statement of compatibility with the objective will not affect the validity or operation of any bill. While this is appropriate, it underscores that the value of the legislated objective will rest on whether current and future governments can build consensus around the meaning of the legislated objectives.
- Government should regularly and publicly report whether the superannuation system will achieve its legislated objectives. A revamped Intergenerational Report would be a suitable vehicle for such reporting.

3. The objectives of the superannuation system in historical perspective

Australia's superannuation system has grown in economic importance since the introduction of the Superannuation Guarantee in 1992. The superannuation savings pool has grown to around \$3.5 trillion, or around 140 per cent of GDP to be one of the world's biggest stocks of pension assets.

Australian employees are required to forgo 11 per cent of their take-home pay through the Superannuation Guarantee (SG), up to a maximum compulsory contribution of \$27,500 per year, rising to 12 per cent from July 2025.

Compulsory superannuation is an integral part of the broader retirement income system, together with the age pension and other voluntary private saving. Superannuation also has important and complex interactions with the broader tax and transfer system and home ownership.

Given its economic importance and centrality in defining pre- and post-retirement living standards for Australians, it is not surprising that recent governments have sought to better define the objectives of superannuation. This was a recommendation of the 2014 Financial System Inquiry. The previous government also introduced a legislative objective that lapsed with the 2019 federal election.

At the time of its introduction in 1992, compulsory superannuation was seen as a way of managing wage demands in the context of a still highly centralised system of wage determination and in an economy that had not yet established a strong nominal anchor via an independent, inflation targeting central bank.

The SG solved a short-term problem, which was the slow progress in extending the coverage of superannuation to employees through the then existing system of wage determination.

The SG was also seen as addressing a national saving problem identified in the 1993 Fitzgerald report, *National Saving*. By lifting individual saving, the SG would also raise national saving, narrow the current account deficit and reduce reliance on foreign capital inflows. In reality, however, Australia's current account deficit and foreign capital inflows were determined by investment demand, not the supply of domestic saving. Households that are not income or credit constrained can always offset increased saving through the SG by increasing other financial liabilities, such as home mortgages.

Superannuation was also seen as alleviating long-term pressure on the federal Budget driven by population ageing and the age pension. By mandating superannuation contributions and providing tax incentives for additional superannuation saving, the superannuation system would reduce long-term demands on the budget and taxpayers. There is a strong case for relatively favourable treatment of superannuation because savings are locked in for very long periods and compounding tax effects could have punitive impacts.

To be sustainable, the superannuation system requires the government to forgo revenue in the short-term to reduce outlays in the long-term. Unless governments are willing to make this trade off, the system will fail to achieve the long-standing aim of successive governments of reducing dependence on the age pension.

The superannuation system has thus served a number of policy objectives over time as the system has evolved. As the system approaches maturity, it is appropriate to better define the fundamental objective of superannuation to ensure that future policy changes remain consistent with that objective. A legislated objective has the potential to build confidence in the system through greater predictability in policy settings than has been seen historically, but only if it reflects a strong underlying, preferably bipartisan, policy consensus.

4. The purpose of a legislated objective

The explanatory memorandum to the bill explains that the intent of the legislation is to:

“require policy-makers to demonstrate to the Parliament, and Australians, how any future changes to superannuation law are consistent with the legislated objective.”

The consultation paper released prior to the exposure draft argued that the legislated objective will:

“Improve accountability and transparency in policy development. Legislating an objective of superannuation will provide stability and confidence to policy makers, regulators, industry, and the community that changes to superannuation policy will be aligned with the purpose of the superannuation system.”

It is intended that this increased accountability and transparency will flow from the legislated objective serving as a benchmark for proposed changes to superannuation policy settings. This benchmark can then be applied in the context of “consultation on policy or draft legislation.”

The proposed bill thus places a significant burden on existing accountability and transparency mechanisms built into the policymaking and legislative process to operationalise the objective and ensure that future policy changes affecting superannuation are consistent with the objective.

The objective can only improve on these processes to the extent that it provides increased clarity and reflects a strong consensus about the purposes of the superannuation system. To the extent that the objective is ambiguous, open-ended or does not reflect a firm consensus, it is unlikely to provide additional discipline or accountability that is not already provided through the existing policymaking and legislative process.

It is useful to compare superannuation policy to tax policy in this regard. There is widespread agreement that the tax system should aim to promote the objectives of economic efficiency, equity and simplicity. But, those goals have not been well served through actual changes in tax policy and legislation. Like the superannuation system, the tax system has become less efficient and more complex over time because policymakers are drawn to make ad hoc changes in support of short-term objectives at the expense of the long-run integrity of the system.

Widespread agreement on the objectives of the system does not eliminate these short-term incentives to act in ways that are dynamically inconsistent.

5. Defining the objective

The proposed objective, as foreshadowed in the government’s previous consultation, is legislated as follows:

“The objective of superannuation is to preserve savings to deliver income for a dignified retirement, alongside government support, in an equitable and sustainable way.”

The BCA makes the following observations on the proposed bill’s formulation.

Preserve savings

The ‘preserve savings’ element of the objective is intended to preclude access to superannuation other than for the purposes of retirement income, except in exceptional circumstances. This formulation invites confusion with the definition of ‘preservation’ in the superannuation rules, which determines when benefits can be accessed apart from exceptional circumstances. The concept of preservation in the existing superannuation rules does not in itself preclude the use of superannuation for policy purposes other than retirement income.

The BCA has previously recommended that the government adopt the alternative proposed wording suggested in the government’s prior consultation paper, mandating that ‘The objective of superannuation is to support savings...’ rather than ‘preserve savings’ to avoid confusion with the concept of preservation in the existing superannuation rules.

The concept of ‘preservation’ is unlikely to reduce interest in using superannuation for purposes such as facilitating homeownership, particularly given the importance of homeownership as both a savings vehicle and in underpinning living standards in retirement. Housing is also a tax-preferred saving vehicle and an important

part of the overall retirement income system. It should not be surprising that the community and future governments view housing and superannuation as close substitutes in delivering 'a dignified retirement.' As a hypothetical example, superannuation assets could in-principle be used to collateralise mortgage lending in a way that lowers borrowing costs for home buyers, without drawing on superannuation balances, thereby satisfying the 'preservation' element of the objective.

Deliver income

The explanatory memorandum notes that the 'deliver income' concept "is not prescriptive about how income is provided to individuals. Some may elect to receive their superannuation as a lump sum from which they can draw income from directly, or choose other financial products which are designed to ultimately provide an income stream during retirement." It also notes that the objective is not prescriptive on what must happen with this income.

The proposed bill thus provides very little guidance or direction in relation to the decumulation phase of superannuation.

Dignified

The explanatory memorandum notes that 'dignified' 'does not mean the same level of income in retirement for all Australians and many Australians will rely on a combination of superannuation savings, government support and private savings to achieve a dignified retirement.'

It also notes that as a subjective concept, 'dignified' "allows individuals to apply their own values and judgements about what a dignified retirement is to them. Accordingly, community expectations of a dignified retirement may change over time which should be reflected in policymakers' assessment of this concept."

Because there is little agreement on what constitutes an adequate retirement income, this element of the definition could be used to argue for a wide range of policies, including higher compulsory contribution rates than currently legislated, as well as more favourable tax treatment to achieve targeted income replacement rates. Because 'dignity' is an open-ended concept, there is a danger this element of the definition expands rather than narrows the scope of future policy change unless the government can build a community consensus around its meaning.

Alongside government support

The explanatory memorandum notes that 'alongside government support' is intended to mandate that policymakers need to consider interactions with the broader retirement income system and social safety net in making policy decisions relating to the superannuation.

The BCA notes that an important objective of superannuation is to reduce dependence on the age pension and this in turn requires that the system provide appropriate incentives for long-run accumulation of retirement saving, including tax incentives for additional voluntary saving over and above that mandated through the defined contribution rate.

Equitable

The concept of 'equitable' is intended to capture the importance of a system that delivers similar outcomes to people in similar situations and targets support to those most in need. The explanatory memorandum notes that this concept "does not mean that every policy change to superannuation must be aimed at affecting the equity of the system. However, policy-makers should give appropriate consideration to the system-wide impacts on equity of proposed changes."

The tax-preferred nature of the superannuation system necessarily favours those who save more. As with the tax system more generally, using the taxation of superannuation to pursue equity objectives is likely to add

complexity and reduce efficiency. Equity objectives may be better served through the broader tax and transfer system. This is consistent with the concept of ‘alongside government support.’

Sustainable

The concept of sustainability is intended to signal that the superannuation system should be robust to demographic, economic and social change, and should be cost-effective for taxpayers in achieving retirement outcomes.

The sustainability of superannuation requires governments to forgo revenue today to reduce pension and other outlays in the long term. Unless the government takes a long-run view of sustainability, this element of the proposed objective could have the unintended effect of rationalising measures that reduce the cost of the system to the budget in the near term, but at the expense of the long-run sustainability of the system.

6. Enforceability of the objective

The BCA notes that the proposed bill puts the legislated objective outside the scope of administrative and judicial review and that the lack of a statement of compatibility with the objective will not affect the validity or operation of any bill. While this is appropriate, it underscores that the value of the legislated objective will rest on whether current and future governments can build consensus around the meaning of the legislated objective.

It would be open to future governments to ignore the legislated objective. To that extent, the legislated objective is not a binding accountability mechanism.

The explanatory memorandum envisages that accountability in relation to the legislated objective will be delivered by the existing processes for formulating and consulting on policy and through parliamentary processes and public scrutiny.

These accountability mechanisms are only likely to be effective to the extent that there is a strong consensus on the meaning of the various elements of the objective. To the extent that future governments take a different view of the objective, these accountability mechanisms can at best help amplify opposing views, but cannot constrain government from implementing alternative policies.

Enshrining the objective of superannuation in legislation does not by itself guarantee future stability and confidence in the superannuation system. Governments that lean too heavily on the legislated objective to argue against future policy changes may find that the open-ended nature of some of the legislated concepts lends itself to a very different interpretation to the one intended by the current government.

The best way for the government to build confidence in the superannuation system is to avoid short-term ad hoc changes to the system, particularly tax measures, that are inconsistent with the long-run aims of the system. A legislated objective is a useful reminder of these long-run aims, but is not in itself a strong deterrent against making potentially harmful future policy changes.

7. Recommendations

The BCA supports the introduction of a legislated objective for superannuation to guide the future evolution of superannuation and to maintain stability and confidence in the superannuation and broader retirement income system.

The proposed objective relies heavily on existing policy formulation and parliamentary processes to hold future governments accountable and ensure that future policy changes remain consistent with the legislated objective.

The BCA cautions that the legislated objective is unlikely to achieve high levels of accountability or constrain future policymakers unless the government can build a strong community consensus around the meaning of the

various elements of the objective and translates these objectives into stable and predictable policymaking. The track records of successive governments are not encouraging in this regard.

The legislated objective will not by itself relieve policymakers of the incentive to make short-term changes to superannuation and tax policy that undermine the long-run objectives of the superannuation system.

The Business Council supports the 2014 Financial System Inquiry recommendation that the government regularly and publicly report whether the superannuation system will achieve its legislated objectives. A revamped Intergenerational Report would be a suitable vehicle for such reporting.

BUSINESS COUNCIL OF AUSTRALIA

42/120 Collins Street Melbourne 3000 T 03 8664 2664 F 03 8664 2666 www.bca.com.au

© Copyright September 2023 Business Council of Australia ABN 75 008 483 216

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or used in any way without acknowledgement to the Business Council of Australia.

The Business Council of Australia has taken reasonable care in publishing the information contained in this publication but does not guarantee that the information is complete, accurate or current. In particular, the BCA is not responsible for the accuracy of information that has been provided by other parties. The information in this publication is not intended to be used as the basis for making any investment decision and must not be relied upon as investment advice. To the maximum extent permitted by law, the BCA disclaims all liability (including liability in negligence) to any person arising out of use or reliance on the information contained in this publication including for loss or damage which you or anyone else might suffer as a result of that use or reliance.

BCA

Business Council of Australia